

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,246	09/26/2001	F. William Daugherty	102.006	8590	
8791	7590 02/11/2005		EXAMINER		
	OKOLOFF TAYLOR	CARLSON, JEFFREY D			
12400 WILSH SEVENTH FL	IIRE BOULEVARD .OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ES, CA 90025-1030		3622		

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠.							
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	7		
(09/963,246	3	DAUGHERTY ET	AL		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	<i>V</i> .	Jeffrey D. C		3622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) days, a in period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- reply received by the Office later than three months after the may ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statut od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from sation to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 November 2004</u> . This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠	4)						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□ 10)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b)[he drawing(s) be rection is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF	• •		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur- See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have beer ents have beer riority docume eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive nt 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ or No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate	>-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/963,246

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the paper(s) filed 11/22/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis et al (US6138155) in view of Marsh et al (US5848397). Davis et al teaches serving targeted third party advertisements on webpages, the ads including banners which allow the user to interact with them by way of pulldown menus, clickable buttons, hotspots, text boxes, or any number of input mechanisms [14:1-20]. Such interactions result in the presentation of information to the user. While the delivery/display/output of the requested sounds, videos, text, etc. can be taken to be fulfilled via one or more "associated information delivery processes", Davis et al does not teach fulfillment through email or telephone responses. Marsh et al teaches to provide a "specified portion" of an interactive ad banner as user-selectable in order to request more information. Further, Marsh et al teaches that the user may interact with the banner in order to forward requests for information to the email of the banner-associated vendor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have included such a "please contact me with more information" feature with that of Davis et

Page 3

al so that the vendor can send promotional/informational materials by email to the requestor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have provided multiple GUI interaction options on the banner ad, such as "learn more via telephone" and "learn more via postal mail" in addition to the "learn more via email" option, so as to provide several convenient options for delivery of information through well known communication channels. Emails sent to the user are personalized at least as far as they are personally addressed to the requestor of information. When the user interacts with the specified portion of the banner, this is taken to prove and indication of option selection. Davis et al teaches that personal information about the user is determined and employed to target ads by way of profiles and cookies. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have provided a message stating that "your email has been sent to us" or "we will be emailing you the requested info soon" as a courtesy to inform the user that their request for more information has been properly submitted. Completing the steps needed to initiate the email communications is taken as inherent consent for such communications.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Marsh et al teaches only a single delivery option, while the claims require plural delivery options. First, not all claims require plural delivery options. For example claim 1 requires plural options, each being associated with a delivery process. However they could all be associated with the same delivery

Application/Control Number: 09/963,246

Art Unit: 3622

process. Nonetheless, Marsh et al's teaching for requesting information by email would motivate one of ordinary skill to offer other well known delivery options, such as by telephone, postal mail, FAX, etc., in order to offer a variety of convenient information channels. Both Davis et al and Marsh et al teach the presence of plural interactive elements on a banner which would have been obvious methods to enable the user to interact and request information via particular delivery methods.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - WO/99/13423 (page 8) teaches providing advertising banners with multiple user-selectable GUI objects such as buttons, menus, slide bars, radio buttons, etc.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/963,246

Art Unit: 3622

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey D. Carlson whose telephone number is 703-308-3402. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6p, (off on alternate Fridays).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey D. Carlson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

jdc